

<< 0042871 >>

09/29/2003 06:33 440-92-4555 P.J. HUGHES ELECTRIC PAGE 01/01

DATE: 10/19/03

Chief, Regulations and Procedures Division

Tax and Trade Bureau

P0 Box 50221

Washington , DC. 20031-0221

RE: TTB Notice #4, Flavored Malt Beverages and Related Proposals (2001R136P)

Dear Sir or Madam

I support the proposed regulations for products marketed as flavored malt beverages (FMBs), as set forth by the Tax and Trade Bureau (TTB) in TTB Notice No. 4 of March 2003.

Beer is a beverage wrth malt flavor and hop bitterness, flavor and aroma, FMBs display none of these characteristics, and should not be considered beer by any definition.

A comparision can be drawn to the growth of fruit beers in the United States, While revolutionary in their flavor and aroma, these products were clearly beers that had flavorings added. There is no mistaking the hop and malt character evident in all of these products. FMBs are not beer-like in the least,

There are over 100 recognized beer style categories and subcategories, as recognized by the American Homebrewers Association and the Association Of Brewers. The Beer Judge C~rtiflcation Program, which sets paran~eters for beer evaluation and style,. has not recognized FMBs as a beer style for any of the competitions they sanction.

To consider FMBs as beer is a threat to beer culture In the United States, and would be damaging to the businesses of the Iarge and small brewers around the country. In 1975 there were 42 breweries in the U.S.; now there are over 1400. A whole industry of small brewers has formed over this period, but that number is now shrinking again, in part due to favorable business conditions provided to FMB producei's over beer brewers.

In summary, I support the proposed "0.5%. standard" for FMBs in order to preserve beer culture and to defend the image of what the general public considers beer.

Sincerely

Patrick J. Hughes

A