

(H) Labels which are lost, damaged, removed or missing, may be replaced only with the Secretary's approval or in accordance with §§ 3282.362(c)(2)(i) (I) and (J).

(I) If the manufactured home has been damaged before its sale to a purchaser (as described in § 3282.252(b)) and the manufacturer does not elect to repair it, it may be sold for salvage. If a home is sold for salvage the manufacturer or the IPIA shall remove the label, render it unuseable, record its removal and return it promptly to the Secretary's Monitoring Contractor or to the Secretary. A home which has been sold for salvage may be relabeled if the salvage company engages a DAPIA and IPIA and brings the damaged manufactured home into compliance with the Federal standards as required by the Federal regulations.

(J) Where a section of a multi-section manufactured home is destroyed or sold for salvage, and such section is to be replaced, the labeling record for the new section shall show that it was mated with the original surviving section.

(3) Data plate. (i) * * *

(B) The serial number, the date the unit was manufactured, and the model designation including the drawing number of the floor plan or final assembly drawing (the floor plan or final assembly drawing shall reference all of the subassemblies, subsystems, and components in the design package which specifically apply to the home),

Authority: Section 625 of the National Manufactured Housing Construction and Safety Standards Act of 1974, 42 U.S.C. 5424; Section 7(d), Department of HUD Act, 42 U.S.C. 3535(d).

Dated: April 16, 1984.

Maunce L. Barksdale,
Assistant Secretary for Housing—Federal
Housing Commissioner.

[FR Doc. 84-21423 Filed 8-10-84; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-27-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms

27 CFR Part 9

[Notice No. 538]

The Hamptons, Long Island Viticultural Area

AGENCY: Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (ATF), is

considering the establishment of a viticultural area located in Suffolk County on the South Fork of Eastern Long Island, New York. The proposed viticultural area includes all of the land areas in the Townships of Southampton and East Hampton. The petition was submitted by a vineyard/bonded winery owner located within the boundaries of the proposed viticultural area. ATF feels that the establishment of viticultural areas and the subsequent use of viticultural area names as appellations of origin in wine labeling and advertising will help consumers identify the wines they may purchase.

DATES: Written comments must be received by September 27, 1984.

ADDRESS: Send written comments to: Chief, FAA, Wine and Beer Branch, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, P.O. Box 385, Washington, DC 20044-0385 (Notice No. 538).

Copies of the petition, the proposed regulations, the appropriate maps, and written comments will be available for public inspection during normal business hours at: ATF Reading Room, Room 4407, Federal Building, 12th and Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Edward A. Reisman, ATF Specialist, FAA, Wine and Beer Branch, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20226 (202-566-7626).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 23, 1978, ATF published Treasury Decision ATF-53 (43 FR 37672, 54624) revising regulations in 27 CFR Part 4. These regulations allow the establishment of definite viticultural areas. The regulations also allow the name and location of an approved viticultural area to be used as an appellation of origin on wine labels and in wine advertisements.

On October 2, 1979, ATF published Treasury Decision ATF-60 (44 FR 56692) which added a new Part 9 to 27 CFR, providing for the listing of approved American viticultural areas, the names of which may be used as appellations of origin.

Section 4.25(e)(1), Title 27, CFR, defines an American viticultural area as a delimited grape-growing region distinguishable by geographical features, the boundaries of which have been delineated in Subpart C of Part 9.

Section 4.25a(e)(2) outlines the procedure for proposing an American viticultural area. Any interested person may petition ATF to establish a grape-

growing region as a viticultural area. The petition should include—

(a) Evidence that the name of the proposed viticultural area is locally and/or nationally known as referring to the area specified in the petition;

(b) Historical or current evidence that the boundaries of the viticultural area are as specified in the petition;

(c) Evidence relating to the geographical characteristics (climate, soil, elevation, physical features, etc.) which distinguish the viticultural features of the proposed area from surrounding areas;

(d) A description of the specific boundaries of the viticultural area, based on features which can be found on United States Geological Survey (U.S.G.S.) maps of the largest applicable scale; and

(e) A copy of the appropriate U.S.G.S. maps with the boundaries prominently marked.

Petition

ATF has received a petition proposing a viticultural area on the South Fork of Eastern Long Island, New York. The proposed viticultural area is to be known as "The Hamptons, Long Island." The petition was submitted by Mr. Lyle Greenfield, owner of the Bridgehampton Winery which is located at Bridgehampton, Long Island, New York. The proposed viticultural area consists of all of the land found in Townships of Southampton and East Hampton (including Gardiners Island) in Suffolk County. The area encompassed by the proposed boundaries consists of 213.2 square miles or 136,448 acres of land that is bounded on the south and east by the Atlantic Ocean. To the north is the Peconic Bay which separates the North Fork of Long Island from The Hamptons. To the west lies the remainder of Long Island where the two forks meet. There are now 55.5 acres of vinifera grapes growing and one bonded winery located within the proposed viticultural area.

The petitioner bases this petition on the following information:

Historical and current evidence regarding the name and boundaries, provided by the petitioner include:

(a) Historical Evidence of Name

The first English settlers arrived around 1640 to the area now known as The Hamptons. By the time of the American Revolution the entire area of the southern fork of Eastern Long Island had been settled. The first town to be established in this area was Southampton which was so named for Henry Wrothesly who was the Earl of Southampton, England. Wrothesly was

very active in colonizing the new world as he was Director and Treasurer of the Virginia Company and was well known by the leading men of Southampton in the Colony of New York. The towns of East Hampton, Bridgehampton, Westhampton and Hampton Bays were established by the late eighteenth century.

This area thereafter became known as "The Hamptons," obviously due to the common ending of the major town names and a desire to preserve the area's English heritage. Today this name is commonly used to describe the locality. This is evident by the many publications, businesses and landmark descriptions which use the name "The Hamptons" to distinguish this region from the rest of Long Island, New York.

(1) Viticultural History

For more than 300 years, The Hamptons have been a productive agricultural growing region. Wine grapes had been introduced to Eastern Long Island as early as the 18th Century by a French immigrant, Moses Fournier. Records indicate that vineyards were flourishing in Southampton during Colonial times, although the types of grapes that were grown and what happened to the plantings is not known. Most of the grapes planted in The Hamptons region prior to the 20th Century were cultivated in relatively small vineyards; the grapes and wine which resulted from them were used principally for private consumption. Many of the local Indians, however, may have actually tended vineyards several hundred years earlier.

In 1979, the tradition of grape-growing in The Hamptons region once again came into focus with the installation of 2 vinifera grape plantings. It was in this year that Lyle Greenfield of Bridgehampton and Ken Conrad of Sag Harbor each planted their own vineyard of vinifera wine grapes in Bridgehampton and Water Mill, respectively. The Bridgehampton Winery, with presses in The Hamptons viticultural area, released two wines for sale in 1983 (Chardonnay and Riesling).

There are presently 55.5 acres of grapes growing in the proposed viticultural area of which 5 acres are located near the Atlantic Ocean at Sagaponack in the Town of Southampton. All of the grapes are vinifera grapes and almost all of them are now producing a crop.

According to the petitioner The Hamptons region has potential for vineyard expansion. Current growers are making more land available to them for potential vineyard expansion. In addition, there are still hundreds of

acres of prime farmland in The Hamptons region that are available for the planting of grapes in the future.

(b) Evidence of Boundaries

The boundaries of "The Hamptons, Long Island" viticultural area may be found on five U.S.G.S. maps. They are titled "Riverhead, NY," 7.5 minute series, scaled at 1:24,000, edition of 1956; "Eastport, NY," 7.5 minute series, scaled at 1:24,000, edition of 1956; "New York, NY; NJ; Conn.," U.S. 1:250,000 series, scaled at 1:250,000, edition of 1960, revised 1979; "Providence, RI; Mass., Conn., NY," U.S. 1:250,000 series, scaled at 1:250,000, edition of 1947, revised 1969; "Hartford, Conn., NY; NJ; Mass.," U.S. 1:250,000 series, scaled at 1:250,000, edition of 1962, revised 1975. The specific description of the boundaries of the proposed viticultural area is found in the regulations which immediately follow this preamble.

Evidence of the geographical characteristics which distinguish "The Hamptons, Long Island" proposed viticultural area from the surrounding areas includes the following information:

The actual geographic area of The Hamptons although attached to a larger island, may be referred to as a peninsula or fork. This is due to the fact that 3 of its boundaries are surrounded by water, the Atlantic Ocean to the south and east and the Peconic Bay to the north. The Hamptons region lies entirely in Suffolk County and is governed under the State of New York. The western boundary of The Hamptons appellation is the 10 mile long boundary line separating Southampton and Brookhaven Townships. The North Fork consists of the Townships of Riverhead and Southold. The Hamptons (South Fork) consists of the Townships of Southampton and East Hampton (213.2 sq. mi.).

The Hamptons begins roughly where the 2 forks begin to separate. The northern border of The Hamptons has its beginnings at the Peconic River in Riverhead Township and follows the river's path to Peconic Bay. The Peconic Bay accounts for the rest of the northern boundary, meeting the Atlantic Ocean at Montauk Point at the eastern tip of Long Island, Gardiners Island is located off the shore of East Hampton Township. The entire length of The Hamptons is approximately 54 miles from its beginning at the Brookhaven/Southampton Town Line to its end at the tip of Eastern Long Island at Montauk Point. The Hamptons is 10 miles wide at its widest point and less than 1/2 mile wide at its narrowest point.

(1) Soils

Mr. Richard T. Harbich, Vineyard Manager and Cellarmaster of the Bridgehampton Winery submitted evidence which states that the soils which make up The Hamptons are distinctly different from those of the surrounding areas. Mr. Harbich is the author of the articles titled "Vinifera Growing On Long Island" and "The Long Island Viticultural Area—The Case For Separate Appellations" which appeared in the *Vinifera Wine Growers Journal* (The Plains, Virginia) in the Winter, 1982 and Spring 1984, issues, respectively. According to data gathered by Mr. Harbich, the difference in soils occurs fairly abruptly, beginning at the Peconic River and continues eastward to Montauk Point. This also designates exactly the proposed northern boundary for "The Hamptons, Long Island" appellation.

According to the United States Conservation Service the predominant soil types which are found in the land area north of The Hamptons commonly known as the North Fork are as follows:

1. *Carver-Plymouth-Riverhead Association*: These soils are excessively well-drained and are very sandy. They are located primarily on the perimeter of the North Fork and are usually rolling or sloping in terrain. The natural fertility of these soils is low and the rapid permeability of water through them makes irrigation a desirable option for vineyards in this area.

2. *Haven-Riverhead Association*: These soils are characteristically deep and somewhat level. They are well-drained and have a medium texture. Most of these soils have a moderate to high water holding capacity and crops respond well to lime and fertilizer when grown in these soils. Due to these factors, this soil association (which is the predominant one of the North Fork) is considered one of the best farming areas in Suffolk County.

The soils of The Hamptons on the other hand are somewhat different and many more soil associations are present:

1. *Plymouth-Carver Association*: These soils are rolling, hilly, deep and excessively drained. Characteristically, scrub oak and other minor trees are found as cover. Permeability is rapid and natural fertility is low. Most of these soils have never been farmed due to these factors and hence they are known to be poor supporters of crops.

2. *Bridgehampton-Haven Association*: These soils are deep and excessively drained and have a medium texture. It is its depth, good drainage and moderate to high available water-holding capacity

that make this soil well-suited to farming. Most of these areas are currently under cultivation of potatoes and vegetables. These soils are the main reason why potato and vegetable growers in The Hamptons have consistently used less irrigation water than their North Fork counterparts.

3. *Montauk-Montauk, Sandy Variant-Bridgehampton Association*: These soils are deep and usually very sloping. Its steep slopes, irregular topography and a high water table limit the potential of this area for conventional farming, but may be very suitable for supporting grapes. Presently, most of this areas is either idle or wooded.

4. *Montauk, Sandy Variant-Plymouth Association*: These soils are excessively drained and coarse textured. Sloping areas within this association also limit conventional farming practices. This loamy-sand is droughty but contains a black surface layer which is high in organic matter content. There is no indication that grapes cannot be grown on these soils.

5. *Montauk-Haven-Riverhead Association*: These soils are fairly well-drained and are located mainly on the northern side of The Hamptons along the Peconic Bay. The surface layer is a silt loam, with a fine sandy loam found at deeper levels. These soils are very deep and well suited to cultivation.

6. *Dune-Land-Tidal Marsh-Beach Association*: The remainder of the soils in The Hamptons consist of these types of soils which make up the beach and marshland areas, both of which are unsuitable for farming.

As previously stated by the petitioner the soils of the North Fork and The Hamptons are quite different. At the Town of Riverhead where the forks meet, there is still some slight separation of the different soil associations. To the west of The Hamptons, the soil associations of Long Island tend to become less restricted to a distinct geographic area and much more intermingling and blending of soil series can be found. Along with this fact, there are the soils making up the "spine" of Long Island, known as the "Pine Barrens." These "Pine Barrens" run east and west down the center of Long Island. The Pine Barrens are an untouched pine stand, one of the last wild areas on Long Island. The soils of the "Pine Barrens" can support only short scrubby pine forests. This is the only vegetation found in the light, extremely sandy and infertile soils found just west of The Hamptons. This land area is the major ground water recharge basin for Suffolk County. This area is presently being considered by New York State for preservation status,

due to its importance for Long Island's water supply.

Further west from here through Nassau County and into New York City, the soil associations become more foreign to those found on the eastern end of Long Island. Of major importance, it must also be pointed out that while various soil types found to the west of The Hamptons may be similar to those found there, the encroachment of dense suburban and industrial development on Long Island has made commercial agriculture and land available for it almost non-existent in the townships west of the proposed viticultural area.

Land classes are subdivisions determined by the U.S. Soil Conservation Service to rate the capabilities of various soil series. Most of the soils in The Hamptons and surrounding land areas including the North Fork fall into the Land Class members I and II. These soils contain few or moderate limitations that restrict their use. There is, however, a greater percentage of soil series associations in The Hamptons which are listed under Class III. These soils have limitations that reduce the choice of plants, require special conservation practices, or both. In general, the soils of The Hamptons contain a greater percentage of silt and loam than the soil series associations found on the North Fork. This accounts for the fact that The Hamptons soils have a greater water-holding capacity than North Fork soils and hence require less irrigation. The soils of The Hamptons are also generally slightly lower in natural fertility than the soils of the North Fork.

Also included in "The Hamptons, Long Island" viticultural area is Gardiners Island which is part of the Township of East Hampton. This island, although separate from the mainland is composed mostly of Montauk and Plymouth soil associations, which are the same as those making up the remainder of The Hamptons.

These and other differences which are associated with different soil types and series found in The Hamptons can greatly affect the growth of grapes. The petitioner feels that the differences in soil types, series and associations found between The Hamptons and the surrounding areas can impart distinct variations in the components of the grapes and also in the wine made from those different areas.

(2) Climate

The petitioner claims that although The Hamptons and the North Fork are relatively close together, there are many

climatic differences which exist between them. These differences are due to the unique topography of the eastern end of Long Island and the relation of the two forks to the Atlantic Ocean.

Most of the climatic data for the eastern end of Long Island is recorded mainly from three weather stations: the Cornell Experimental Station in northern Riverhead (located on the North Fork), the Greenport weather station (located on the North Fork), and the Bridgehampton weather station in The Hamptons (located on the South Fork). The Cornell Station at Riverhead has been recording weather data since the 1950's, while the Bridgehampton Station has been operating for almost half a century.

According to Mr. Richard Hendrickson, who has been the caretaker of the Bridgehampton Weather Station since 1938, there are definite climatic differences which exist between the two forks. He has made this observation by comparing his many years of data accumulated from the Bridgehampton Station with weather data from the North Fork. He also makes this observation from living in the area for his entire lifetime. Mr. Hendrickson states that on the average, the winter months are colder on the North Fork. There the colder temperatures average 1½ to 2 degrees (F.) colder than The Hamptons. The reason for this is that the North Fork is further away from the Atlantic Ocean and hence does not receive the warmed southwest winds which come in from the Atlantic Ocean that The Hamptons receive. In the winter, the prevailing winds come from the southwest and are warmed by the Atlantic Ocean. The ocean in the winter has a buffering effect due to its accumulation of heat from the summer and fall months. This wind will therefore buffer the temperature of The Hamptons as it passes over them, however, by the time the wind passes over the colder Peconic Bay and reaches the North Fork, it has lost much of its warmth and hence does little to buffer the temperatures of the North Fork.

By the time spring arrives on Long Island, the ocean has cooled somewhat from the low winter temperatures. Breezes coming from the south at this time of year will therefore become cooled by the ocean, and as they pass over the warming land, a fog will often be produced. This fog will often become trapped on The Hamptons due to the many hills and rolling areas which exist there. Therefore, in the springtime, the North Fork will usually have more sunshine earlier and also have a higher

average temperature. This is evident by the fact that the strawberries, sweet corn and potatoes grown on the North Fork begin to grow and ripen earlier than those same crops grown in The Hamptons.

During the summer months the southern breezes coming off the cool ocean will continue to keep average temperatures of The Hamptons lower. As the winds pass over The Hamptons, they travel over the Peconic Bay which is a smaller body of water and hence warmer. The winds absorb much of the warmth from the bay and therefore cause the average temperatures on the North Fork to be higher than The Hamptons during the summer months. Mr. Hendrickson also explained that during the summer, the North Fork receives a greater number of thunder and lightning storms. These storms usually arrive from the west, and are pushed over towards the North Fork by the prevailing southeast winds.

During the fall, The Hamptons can also expect cooler temperatures than the North Fork, especially during the night. Otherwise, both forks have the benefit of enjoying a fall season consisting of a lot of sunshine and normal amounts of precipitation. The ocean effect, which alters the climates of both the North and South Fork is considerably reduced west of Riverhead, where the island widens. The petitioner states that it is this reason along with the increased blending of soil series, which would keep either fork from being considered part of a larger Long Island appellation.

According to the petitioner, although the amount of sunshine and rainfall can have an effect on the length of the growing season, the single most important factor is the number of days between the spring and fall frosts. In data taken from the Riverhead Station on the North Fork and from the Bridgehampton Station in The Hamptons (South Fork), there are definite differences in the frost dates for both forks. During the 6-year period from 1978-1983 the number of days between frosts, or the length of the growing season averaged 195 days on the North Fork and 182 days in The Hamptons. During those years there were anywhere from 1 to over 3 weeks less time for the growing season in The Hamptons as compared to the North Fork.

The petitioner claims that this is a very significant difference. When this data is further examined, it was seen that this difference occurs mostly between the dates of the last spring frost. The average last frost in The Hamptons is usually around April 23rd, while that on the North Fork occurs

around the beginning of April. This spring difference is much greater than the difference between the first fall frosts, which usually occur during the end of October to the beginning of November on both forks. This supports the fact that the growing season gets off to a slower start in The Hamptons.

The use of heat summation or "Growing Degree Days" is also another standard for determining climatic differences in grape-growing areas. Heat-summation is a standard developed by the University of California at Davis, and it is the measurement of the mean monthly temperatures of a single area, above 50° F. The importance of heat summation above 50° F (10° C.) as a factor in grape quality has been indicated by Koblet and Zwicky (1965) and also by Amerine and Winkler (1944). The University of California broke down various areas in California into 5 climatic regions. They are as described as follows:

Region I—Less than 2,500 degree-days
Region II—2,501-3,000 degree-days
Region III—3,001-3,500 degree-days
Region IV—3,501-4,000 degree-days
Region V—4,001 or more degree-days

The average number of degree days for the North Fork (at Riverhead) and The Hamptons (at Bridgehampton) are as follows:

Riverhead (1941-1970)—2,932
Bridgehampton (1941-1970)—2,531

From the period of 1941 through 1970, the average number of heat summation days for the Riverhead Station placed them between the Regions II and III. During the same period, Bridgehampton was placed between the Region I and II.

The growing degree days for the periods 1973 to 1979 averaged 2,575 for Bridgehampton and 2,987 for Riverhead. During this time the area of the Riverhead Station on the North Fork varied between Regions II and III while the Bridgehampton area varied between Regions I and II.

The petitioner claims, as far as grape growing areas are concerned, this is a significant difference. In the years 1941-1979, the number of degree days in The Hamptons rarely came close to the number accumulated on the North Fork. The petitioner states that this is another distinguishing climate feature which exists between the North Fork and The Hamptons.

The petitioner goes on to say that climate and soil have a very significant effect on the kind and quality of grapes which can be grown in a particular location. The difference in these two important factors which exists between the North Fork and The Hamptons can have a substantial effect on the growth

of the wine grapes in these two areas. For instance, the emergence of buds in The Hamptons may be 1-3 weeks later than bud-break on the North Fork, thereby shortening the growing season. The cooler temperatures encountered during the growing and ripening seasons in The Hamptons can also impart special qualities to wine grapes grown there. Cooler ripening weather fosters a higher degree of acidity, a lower pH and in some instances may bring to the mature fruit, optimum development of aroma and flavoring constituents—the precursors of the bouquet and flavor complexities of the wines. Grapes in The Hamptons are also growing in soil of a heavier texture requiring less, if any, irrigation. The petitioner believes this factor along with the differences in the natural fertility of the soil may also produce subtle differences in the grapes and finished wines. As previously stated by the petitioner, the Atlantic Ocean is the main reason for The Hamptons and more so, the North Fork's buffered climate patterns. Heading west, as the two forks merge into the main body of Long Island, the effect of the Atlantic Ocean is greatly diminished. This is evident when data from Bridgehampton is compared with data from specific areas west of the proposed viticultural area. At the Brookhaven National Laboratory located in central Long Island and Patchogue located on the Great South Bay on the south shore, specific comparisons can be made. The Brookhaven National Laboratory located less than 15 miles west of The Hamptons can have as much as 50 days less of a growing season (growing season averages 150 days 1973-1982) than that recorded at Bridgehampton. Patchogue has as much as 36 days less (growing season averages 176 days 1973-1982) with most seasons being around 1-2 weeks less than Bridgehampton.

The amount of heat summation or growing degree days accumulated in areas to the west of The Hamptons also differs considerably. During the period 1973-1979 the growing degree days averaged 2,403 at the Brookhaven National Laboratory while at Bridgehampton it averaged 2,575 degree days. Over that period the Brookhaven Lab averaged 172 degree days less than Bridgehampton. This significant difference in heat summation correlates with the shorter growing season found at Brookhaven.

The petitioner states that the main reason why the climate differs west of The Hamptons is due to the lesser effect of the Atlantic Ocean on buffering temperatures. As the buffering

southwest winds approach western Long Island, they first must travel over a small sliver of land known as Long Beach, Jones Beach and Fire Island. The winds then must travel over the inlets of South Oyster Bay, Great South Bay and Moriches Bay, before traveling over the main body of Long Island. The combination of passing over the narrow, colder, island strips and bays causes a slight loss in the warmth of the winds, thereby lessening its effect in buffering the mainland. By the time the winds travel north, a few miles inward, they have lost a great deal of the warmth they had previously carried and hence do significantly less to control temperatures than the breezes traveling over The Hamptons. The Hamptons and the North Fork are much narrower strips of land than the main body of Long Island, and therefore alter the temperatures of the winds to a much lesser degree than western Long Island. Data obtained by the petitioner for the periods 1973-1981 show Patchogue averaging 4.1 degrees (F.) cooler than Bridgehampton for the same period.

As previously stated, the petitioner believes the reasons for drawing the western boundary of The Hamptons at the Southampton Town Line are quite numerous. To support these reasons the petitioner emphasized the following:

First and foremost, commercial agriculture, and farmland available for grape-growing are quite limited west of the Riverhead area. The "Pine Barrens" are unsuitable for planting. The remaining areas available for agriculture, to the north and south of the "Pine Barrens," may be suitable for grape growing, however the differences in both soil and climate distinguish this area significantly from The Hamptons. Apart from various soil types having different characteristics, the growing season in this area can be considerably shorter than that found in The Hamptons. The diminished ocean effect in this area, is very inconsistent, allowing for a greater occurrence of late spring and early fall frosts. The consistently shorter growing season, lower amount of heat summation and lower winter minimums, found west of the Town of Riverhead greatly increase the threat of winter injury to the grapes and could force the vintner in this area to carry out cultural practices similar to those used in the colder regions of upstate New York. Certain areas, namely the Town of Brookhaven, are probably not even suited to vinifera at all; vinifera grapes need a minimum of 160 days (average) of growing season. According to the petitioner, this last fact is all the more reason why the western

boundary for The Hamptons should be the Southampton Town Line. The petitioner states that this boundary closely defines an area with unique climatic and geographic conditions, different from the rest of Long Island.

To summarize, the petitioner feels that it is extremely important that the specific grape growing areas on Long Island be recognized and set apart from one another in order to maintain quality, individuality and also to protect the consumer. The petitioner concluded by saying that the evidence presented in the petition strongly suggests that "The Hamptons, Long, Island" region has within its boundaries distinct and unique grape growing conditions which warrant the need for approval of a separate viticultural area.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act relating to an initial and final regulatory flexibility analysis (5 U.S.C. 603, 604) are not applicable to this notice of proposed rulemaking because the proposal is not expected: (1) To have significant secondary or incidental effects on a substantial number of small entities; or (2) to impose, or otherwise cause, a significant increase in the reporting recordkeeping, or other compliance burdens on a substantial number of small entities.

Accordingly, it is hereby certified under the provisions of Section 3 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 605(b)) that the notice of proposed rulemaking, if promulgated as a final rule, will not have a significant economic impact nor compliance burdens on a substantial number of small entities.

Compliance With Executive Order 12291

It has been determined that this proposed rulemaking is not classified as a "major rule" within the meaning of Executive Order 12291, 48 FR 13193 (1981), because it will not have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more; it will not result in a major increase in costs or prices for consumers, individual industries, Federal, State, or local government agencies, or geographical regions; and it will not have significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or on the ability of the United States-based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises in domestic or export markets.

Paperwork Reduction Act

The provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, Pub. L. 96-511, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, and its implementing

regulations, 5 CFR Part 1320, do not apply to this notice because no requirement to collect information is proposed.

Public Participation—Written Comments

ATF requests comments from all interested persons concerning this proposed viticultural area. This document proposes possible boundaries for "The Hamptons, Long Island" viticultural area. However, comments concerning other possible boundaries for this viticultural area will be given consideration.

Comments received before the closing date will be carefully considered. Comments received after the closing date and too late for consideration will be treated as possible suggestions for future ATF action.

ATF will not recognize any material in comments as confidential. Comments may be disclosed to the public. Any material which the commenter considers to be confidential or inappropriate for disclosure to the public should not be included in the comments. The name of the person submitting a comment is not exempt from disclosure.

Any interested person who desires a public hearing on these proposed regulations should submit his or her requests, in writing, to the Director within the 45-day comment period. The Director, however, reserves the right to determine, in light of all circumstances, whether a public hearing will be held.

ATF has received another petition proposing a viticultural area on the North Fork of Eastern Long Island, New York. The petition was submitted by The Long Island Grape Growers Association. The proposed viticultural area is to be known as the "North Fork of Long Island." The proposed area is in Eastern Suffolk County and consists of the Townships of Riverhead and Southold. To date ATF has not received all of the supporting evidence for this petitioned viticultural area. As soon as that information is received a notice of proposed rulemaking will be published in the Federal Register for public comment.

List of Subjects in 27 CFR Part 9

Administrative practice and procedure, Viticultural areas, Consumer protection, and Wine.

Drafting Information

The principal author of this document is Edward A. Reisman, FAA, Wine and Beer Branch, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms.

Authority

Accordingly, under the authority in 27 U.S.C. 205, the Director proposes the amendment of 27 CFR Part 9 as follows:

PART 9—AMERICAN VITICULTURAL AREAS

Paragraph 1. The table of sections in 27 CFR Part 9, Subpart C, is amended to add the title of § 9.101 to read as follows:

Subpart C—Approved American Viticultural Areas

Sec.
* * * * *

9.101 The Hamptons, Long Island.

Par. 2. Subpart C is amended by adding § 9.101 to read as follows:

Subpart C—Approved American Viticultural Areas

* * * * *

§ 9.101 The Hamptons, Long Island.

(a) *Name.* The name of the viticultural area described in this section is "The Hamptons, Long Island."

(b) *Approved maps.* The appropriate maps for determining the boundaries of "The Hamptons, Long Island" viticultural area are 5 U.S.G.S. maps. They are entitled:

(1) "Riverhead, N.Y.," 7.5 minute series, scaled at 1:24,000, edition of 1956;

(2) "Eastport, N.Y.," 7.5 minute series, scaled at 1:24,000, edition of 1956;

(3) "New York, N.Y., N.J., Conn., U.S. 1:250,000 series, scaled at 1:250,000, edition of 1960, revised 1979;

(4) "Providence, R.I., Mass., Conn., N.Y., U.S. 1:250,000 series, scaled at 1:250,000, edition of 1947, revised 1969; and

(5) "Hartford, Conn., N.Y., N.J., Mass., U.S. 1:250,000 series, scaled at 1:250,000, edition of 1962, revised 1975.

(c) *Boundaries.* The boundaries of the proposed viticultural area are as follows:

"The Hamptons, Long Island" proposed viticultural area is located entirely within Eastern Suffolk County, Long Island, New York. The proposed viticultural area boundaries consist of all of the land areas of the South Fork of Long Island, New York, including all of the beaches, shorelines, islands and mainland areas in the Townships of Southampton and East Hampton (including Gardiners Island).

The beginning point is found on the "Riverhead, N.Y." U.S.G.S. map on the Peconic River about 2 miles east of Calverton where the Townships of Riverhead, Brookhaven and Southampton meet:

(1) The boundary travels south approximately 10 miles along the Southampton/Brookhaven Township line until it reaches the dunes on the Atlantic Ocean near Cupsogue Beach on the "Eastport, N.Y." U.S.G.S. map.

(2) Then the boundary proceeds east and west along the beaches, shorelines, islands and mainland areas of the entire South Fork of Long Island described on the "New York," "Providence," and "Hartford" U.S.G.S. maps until it reaches the Peconic River near Calverton at the beginning point. These boundaries consist of all of the land found in the Townships of Southampton and Easthampton (including Gardiners Island).

Approved: August 3, 1984.

Stephen E. Higgins,
Director.

[FR Doc. 84-21346; Filed 8-10-84; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4810-31-M

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The public is invited to participate in this rulemaking by submitting written views, data, or arguments. Persons submitting a comment should include their names and addresses, identifying this notice CGD 84-034, and give the reasons for each comment. Receipt of comments will be acknowledged if a stamped, self-addressed postcard or envelope is enclosed. This proposal may be changed in view of comments received. All comments received before the expiration of the comment period will be considered before final action is taken on this proposal. No public hearing is planned.

Drafting Information

The principal persons involved in drafting this rulemaking are Mr. Frank Parker, Project Manager, Marine Information Branch, and Lieutenant Dave Shippert, Project Attorney, Office of the Chief Counsel.

Discussion of the Proposed Regulation

The Light List Volume V, Mississippi River System, provides a comprehensive listing of the official names, locations, characteristics, and general descriptions of all aids to navigation maintained by or under the authority of the U.S. Coast Guard on the Mississippi River System. The Coast Guard currently publishes all Light Lists annually to incorporate any changes which have occurred during the preceding twelve months. At the Coast Guard/Marine Industry Aid to Navigation Workshops in St. Louis and Memphis in October 1983, many mariners requested that Volume V of the Light Lists be published biennially. The Coast Guard concurs with this suggestion since there has been, on an average, only 350 changes (including editorial changes) made to Volume V at each annual printing. All other Light List will continue to be published annually since more than 2,000 changes are made to each of the other volumes at every annual printing. The slight number of changes made to aids to navigation on the Mississippi River System during a twelve month period does not fairly justify the cost and inconvenience to mariners of the annual printing. The slight changes which do occur are immediately noted in the Local Notice to Mariners; therefore a biennial publication schedule will not affect navigational safety on the Mississippi River System. The proposed publication schedule will however result in savings to those mariners who are required to have a current Light List onboard while transiting the river system. Section 164.33 of Title 33 Code of Federal

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 72

[CGD 84-034]

Light List Printing Cycle

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is proposing to amend the publication schedule of Light List Volume V, Mississippi River System, to provide for a biennial printing. The current regulations require that each volume of the Light List be published annually. This proposed change is in response to requests from the marine industry which note that the small number of yearly changes to aids to navigation on the Mississippi River System does not justify a yearly reprint of Light List Volume V

DATE: Comments must be received on or before September 27, 1984.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be submitted to: Commandant (G-CMC/44), (CGD 84-034), U.S. Coast Guard Headquarters, 2100 Second St., SW., Washington, DC 20593. Comments may be delivered to and will be available for inspection or copying at the Marine Safety Council, U.S. Coast Guard Headquarters, Room 2110, 2100 Second Street, SW., Washington, DC, between the hours of 8 a.m. and 4 p.m. Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Frank Parker, Marine Information Branch, U.S. Coast Guard, (202) 426-9566.