From: ?202??435?7464

Page: 3/6

Date: 2/25/2009 4:10:26 PM

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREAS ALCOHOL AND TOBACCO TAX AND TRA ABSTRACT AND STATEM	ADE BUREAU ENT	NOT IN SUIT
miss of liabilities and/or violations incurred und	der Chapters 32,51,52,53,68, a	nd/or 78 of the Internal Revenue Code, and/or
abilities and/or violations incurred under the rederal reconditions	OFFER IN COMPROMISE	
OFFER SUBMITTED BY (Name and address)	2. ORIGINATING OFFICE	3. AMOUNT OF OFFER
Samuel Adams Brewery Company, Ltd.	TID/TAD	\$75,000.00
1625 Central Parkway Cincinnati, Ohio 45214	l	5. SYSTEM CONTROL NUMBER(S)
Cincillian, One 4521	4. PERMIT, LICENSE, OR REGISTRY NO. (if applica	
	6. DOLLAR AMOUNT OF LE	ABILITY BEING COMPROMISED (if applicable)
	\$ 7. TAXPAYER IDENTIFICA	TION NUMBER
VIOLATIONS:  It is the position of TTB that the proponent allegedly manufact by volume derived from flavorings and other ingredients for in classified as distilled spirits products. In addition, TTB alleges listed on the approved labels, and (2) revealed additions of classified approved formulas. As a result, the products were not manufactoric tabeling formation. Consequently, the proponent all 25.57 and 25.58 for formula compliance; (2) 27 CFR 5.31, 5.419.721, 25.15(b), and Treasury Decision TTB-21, dated Januar Ruling 2004-1, dated April 7, 2004, and TTB Procedure 2004 27 CFR 16.20(a) and 16.21 for government health warning stadditions during the filtration stage; (6) 27 CFR 7.20(a)(b) are inaccurate labeling regarding yeast nutrients; and (8) 27 CFR BUSINESS IN WHICH ENGAGED:  The proponent is a duly qualified brewery.  DATE OR PERIOD AND LOCATION OF VIOLATIONS: On or about January 1, 2006 through September 30, 2007 at AMOUNT AND TERMS OF OFFER: The proponent has submitted an offer of \$75,000 in compron Administration Act, the Internal Revenue Code, and the Alcon RECOMMENDATION: The Director, National Revenue Center recommends acceptathis Offer in Compromise, as submitted, is warranted.	is that certain products (1) caltac/calcium chloride and factured in compliance will legedly violated (1) Title 2 42(a)(1), 5.55(a), 7.11, 19 ary 3, 2005 for labeling in 4-1, dated July 12, 2004 for tatements; (5) 27 CFR 25. and 7.24(a) for inaccurate I at 25.156(b) for failure to produce of the above violation sholic Beverage Labeling A ance of the offer. In view	contained a higher protein content than I zinc sulfate that were not listed on the th the approved formulas and resulted in 7, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 9.21, 19.24, 19.151, 19.641, 19.643(a), formation; (3) 27 CFR 7.29(a)(4), TTB or protein content labeling information; (4), 291 and 25.292(a)(1) for recordation of abel information; (7) 27 CFR 25.57(a)(1) for reperly record keg weights/fiil.  Tincinnati, Ohio 45214.  Instinctional incurred under the Federal Alcohol ct of 1988.  of potential litigation hazards, acceptance of potential litigation hazards, acceptance of the sum o
the Red War on when	med herein and for the reaso	us empodied in the above sustract and statement.
I have considered the proposition to compromise the liability as characters of the origina that it will be for the best interest of the United State	riged herein, and, for the reaso	REJECT the terms proposed.
9. I have considered the proposition to compromise the liability as cha am of the opinion that it will be for the best interest of the United State.  10. SIGNATURE AND TITLE	rged herein, and, for the reaso ates to ACCEPT	REJECT the terms proposed.  11. DATE  2/2/09