

RUSS FAIRCHILD, MEMBER
253 FELMEY ROAD, SUITE 2
P.O. BOX 183
WINFIELD, PENNSYLVANIA 17889

HOUSE BOX 202020
MAIN CAPITOL BUILDING
HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA 17120-2020

SNYDER COUNTY (570) 837-1600
UNION COUNTY (570) 524-2788
FAX (570) 524-7547
HARRISBURG (717) 787-3443
FAX (717) 772-5500

WWW.RUSSFAIRCHILD.COM



House of Representatives
COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
HARRISBURG

COMMITTEES
MAJORITY CHAIRMAN
INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS

MEMBER
POLICY COMMITTEE

MEMBER
CHESAPEAKE BAY COMMISSION

September 22, 2005

William H. Foster, Chief
Regulations and Procedures Division
Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau
ATTN: Notice No. 41
P. O. Box 14412
Washington, D. C. 20044-4412

Dear Sir:

With regard to Notice No. 41 where the TTB is seeking public comment on whether additional requirements should be added to current alcohol product labels, I write to oppose any efforts that would include "standard serving" information or a listing of the amount of pure alcohol on labels or advertising. On the question of alcohol content, I support continuing the federal policy of using the percent alcohol by volume, or proof, as the only appropriate ways to describe alcohol content. This approach not only maintains a longstanding federal policy, it also works well with the labeling policies of many states. I strongly oppose proposals to display alcohol content in terms of fluid ounces of pure alcohol per "standard serving." This misguided attempt would cover up the significant differences in strength, concentration and effect between liquor, wine and beer. Communicating alcohol content in this way could be misleading and potentially dangerous and would hinder rather than promote, responsible drinking. Instead, continuing the more meaningful measure of the percentage of alcohol by volume is in the best interests of consumers and the public.

In addition, TBB should recognize there is no such thing as a "standard serving" and this too should not be permitted on any alcohol labels or advertising. While "serving size" may be fairly common for beer, it varies widely for wine and liquor, especially when it comes to mixed drinks. This wide variation make the term all but meaningless and it has no place on alcohol beverage labels. I urge you to reject this misleading phrase that could cause more public confusion, not less.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Russ Fairchild".

RUSSELL H. FAIRCHILD
STATE REPRESENTATIVE, 85TH DISTRICT

RHF:meh